

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO:	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,665	08/05/2003	Robert W. Scott	0130541	6357
7590 01/30/2007 ROBERT W. SCOTT			EXAMINER	
6315 CALOIS		•	SHAHRESTANI, NASIR	
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46220			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/30/2007 .	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•		S				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/634,665	SCOTT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nasir Shahrestani	3737				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Au</u>	<u>igust 2003</u> .					
·—	<del>-</del>					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
•	') Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2003</u> is/are: a) ⊠ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  6) Other:						

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :1/3/2005; 5/13/2004; 3/17/2004; 11/12/203.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,665

Art Unit: 3737

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1 part b, 11 part c, 16 part d, 17part c, and 18 part c, recite the limitation "...in connection with a diagnostic method..." which deems the claim to not fall within at least one of the four categories of patent eligible subject matter recited in 35 U.S.C. 101 (process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7-9, 11, 17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Macoviak et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,254,563). Macoviak et al. teaches a catheter comprising an elongated tubular shaft (fig. 60) having a proximal end remaining outside the body organ (fig. 5) and a distal end inserted into the body organ (fig.5) and having a light transmission zone (shunt 102) through which light can be transmitted; a fiber lumen in the catheter shaft for containing a diagnostic optical fiber (actuation fiber 426) for emitting and transmitting light through the light

Application/Control Number: 10/634,665 Page 3

Art Unit: 3737

transmission zone (col. 21 lines 2-7); a diagnostic subassembly at the proximal end (fig. 5) in communication with the optical fiber; an occlusion balloon (occlusion member 280) positioned on the distal end of the catheter shaft adjacent to the light transmission zone (fig. 7); an inflation lumen (inflation lumen 124) in the catheter shaft and in fluid communication with the balloon for delivering fluid from an inflation fluid source at the proximal end of the catheter shaft to the balloon (col. 14 lines 12-24); an infusion lumen in the catheter shaft for delivering infusion fluid form and infusion fluid source at proximal end to distal end of catheter shaft (claims 13 & 27); one or more infusion ports (ports 114, 194, etc.) formed on or near the light transmission zone and in fluid communication with the infusion lumen for delivering fluid to the hollow body organ (col. 12 lines 20-47). Macoviak further teaches the ports are radially and longitudinally distributed around the circumference of the catheter shaft (fig. 1).

Regarding claim 7-8, Macoviak further teaches wherein the diagnostic optical fiber is in communication with a light source at the proximal end of the catheter shaft (col. 20 lines 62-65) to transmit light to issue via the transmission zone. Macoviak also teaches a second fiber lumen in the catheter shaft (member 428) for containing a light treatment optical fiber.

Regarding claim 12, Macoviak et al. further teaches the diagnostic device is an intravascular ultrasound catheter subassembly or fluorescence detection catheter subassembly (col. 8 lines 52-53).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Macoviak et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,254,563) in view of Dias (U.S. Patent No.: 5,152,291). Macoviak et al. teaches all the limitations of claim 1 but does not teach wherein the diagnostic optical fiber is configured to emit and receive fluorescent light. Dias teaches the use of a single fiber for emitting and receiving fluorescence emitted from dye (col. 2 lines 58-68). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the optical fibers as taught by Macoviak et al. to further include a single fiber to emit and receive fluorescence from tissue since the wavelength of the emitted fluorescence is always lower than that of the input excitation.

Claims 10, 16 and 18-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Macoviak et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,254,563) in view of Kilpatrick et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,716,178). Macoviak et al. teaches all the limitations of claim 1 but does not teach a temperature sensing element. Kilpatrick et al. teaches a catheter with fiber optic assembly capable of thermal sensing (col. 1 lines 9-15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the apparatus as taught by Macoviak et al. to further include temperature sensing as taught by Kilpatrick et al. to eliminate the need for a second diagnostic device and to expedite treatment.

Regarding claim 16 and 18, Macoviak teaches an elongated tubular catheter, a first and second fiber lumen, a diagnostic subassembly, an occlusion balloon, an inflation lumen, an infusion lumen, and one or more infusion ports as described above, but does not teach the second

Art Unit: 3737

fiber lumen containing a diagnostic optical fiber. Kilpatrick et al. teaches a second optical fiber for performing diagnosis (col. 1 lines 9-15). Kilpatrick further teaches wherein the second optical fiber could be used for delivering treatment light to the tissue area (col. 3 line 66). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the apparatus as taught by Macoviak et al. to further include temperature sensing as taught by Kilpatrick et al. to eliminate the need for a second diagnostic device and to expedite treatment.

Regarding claims 19-23, Macoviak teaches all the limitations as described above under rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 24, Macoviak does not teach the use of a filter however Kilpatrick et al. teaches the use of a high-resolution filter or wavelength selective optical element (col. 13 lines 49-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the apparatus as taught by Macoviak et al. to further include a wavelength selective optical element as taught by Kilpatrick et al. in order to sharpen the features displayed in the spectral response for easier peak recognition and spectral analysis.

Claims 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Macoviak et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,254,563) in view of well-known practices in the art.

Macoviak et al. teaches all the limitations of claim 11 but does not teach wherein the diagnostic device is an optical coherence tomography catheter subassembly or configured for visible or infrared light detection. The use of the aforementioned catheter subassemblies is well known in the art and official notice of such is taken. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 10/634,665 Page 6

Art Unit: 3737

the art at the time of the invention to use OCT or infrared subassemblies to enhance diagnosis and to expedite treatment.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasir Shahrestani whose telephone number is 571-270-1031. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs: 7:30-5:00, 2nd Friday: 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nasir Shahrestani 1/16/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES
TECHNOLOGY OFFICE ASSETS